

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/19/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/873,057	06/02/2001	Kambiz Hayat-Dawoodi	TI-29619	4012
75	7590 02/19/2003			
Gary C. Honeycutt			EXAMINER	
Texas Instruments Incorporated P.O. Box 655474, MS 3999 Dallas, TX 75265			KOBERT, RUSSELL MARC	
		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2829	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/873,057	HAYAT-DAWOODI, KAMBIZ					
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit					
	Russell M Kobert	2829					
Th MAILING DATE of this communicati n appears on the cover shelf twith the corresponding address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONET	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 J</u>	une 2001 .						
	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-21 are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exar	niner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No					
Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Burnt See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the second	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-					
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provately 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	visional application has been rece	eived.					
Attachment(s)	- p under 00 0.0.0. 33 120	without of the Is					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) latent Application (PTO-152)					
``````````````````````````````````````							

Application/Control Number: 09/873,057

Art Unit: 2829

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-17, drawn to apparatus, classified in class 324, subclass 117H.
  - II. Claims 18-21, drawn to methods, classified in class 324, subclass 117H.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a plurality of methods as disclosed.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 5. If Invention I is elected, further election of species is required as follows:

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/873,057

Art Unit: 2829

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- (1) The species of Figure 2;
- (2) The species of Figure 2 modified by Figure 3;
- (3) The species of Figure 2 modified by Figure 4;
- (4) The species of Figure 2 modified by Figure 5;
- (5) The species of Figure 7;
- (6) The species of Figure 7 modified by Figure 2;
- (7) The species of Figure 7 modified by Figure 2 further modified by Figure 3;
- (8) The species of Figure 7 modified by Figure 2 further modified by Figure 4;
- (9) The species of Figure 7 modified by Figure 2 further modified by Figure 5.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Art Unit: 2829

V

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. If Invention II is elected, further election of Species is required as follows:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- (1) The species to which claims 18-20 are drawn;
- (2)The species to which claim 21 is drawn.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/873,057

Art Unit: 2829

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 7. A telephone call was made to the Office of the Attorney of Record on February 6, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 8. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire one month(s) from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133

٠,

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Russell Kobert whose telephone number is (703) 308-5222.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Russell M. Kobert Patent Examiner

Group Art Unit 2829

February 7, 2003